

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,249	11/10	0/2005	Patrick Forenzo	4-32907A	4-32907A 2466	
1095 NOVARTIS	7590	11/21/2007		EXAMINER		
CORPORATE		CTUAL PROPER	TEALE, MICHAEL J			
		PLAZA 104/3 R, NJ 07936-1080 ART UNIT PAPER NUM			PAPER NUMBER	
			•	1614		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				11/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/549,249	FORENZO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael J. Teale Ph.D.	4133					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 September 2005.						
,—	·						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•					
Oldings) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)							

10/549,249 Art Unit: 4133

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-13 are drawn to a liquid oral dosage composition of 5-methyl- 2-(2'-chloro-6'-fluoroanilino)phenylacetic acid.

Group II, claims 21-22 are drawn to a method for treating a cyclooxygenase-2 dependent disorder using a liquid oral dosage composition of 5-methyl- 2-(2'-chloro-6'-fluoroanilino)phenylacetic acid.

Group III, claims 14-18 are drawn to a method of preparing a liquid oral dosage composition of 5-methyl- 2-(2'-chloro-6'-fluoroanilino)phenylacetic acid.

Group IV, claims 19-20 are drawn to a method of treating a liquid oral dosage composition of 5-methyl- 2-(2'-chloro-6'-fluoroanilino)phenylacetic acid.

The inventions listed in Groups I to IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons: the special technical feature of Group II is a method of treating a cyclooxygenase-2 dependent disorder, where said disorder represents a genus of disorders that effect different biological and physiological systems; Groups I, III, and IV lacks this special technical feature. The special technical feature of Groups I, III, and IV are liquid

10/549,249

Art Unit: 4133

oral dosage compositions of 5-methyl- 2-(2'-chloro-6'-fluoroanilino)phenylacetic acid, where each Group's composition is different (for example Group I composition has antifoaming and preservative agents not found in groups III and IV, and Group IV has a pH adjustment that is not seen in either groups I or III).

Species Election

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

cyclooxygenase-2 dependent disorders, antifoaming agent, preservative.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species by:

Should applicant elect Group I then applicant is required to elect a specific antifoaming agent, as well as, a specific preservative to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Should applicant elect Group II then applicant is required to elect a specific cyclooxygenase-2 dependent disorder to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number:

10/549,249

Art Unit: 4133

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: cyclooxygenase-2 dependent disorders: claims 21 and 22; antifoaming agent: claim 11; preservative: claims 12 and 13.

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-22.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: cyclooxygenase-2 dependent disorders, antifoaming agents, and preservatives each represents a genus. The cyclooxygenase-2 dependent disorders are different diseases that affect various biological systems in different ways. The antifoaming agents, and preservatives genera each have many classes of compounds with different structures, modes of operation and effects.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of

Application/Control Number:

10/549,249

Art Unit: 4133

the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

10/549,249

Art Unit: 4133

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. Furthermore the examiner may find if necessary to further restrict the elected invention once depending on applicant's election and the state of the associated art.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10/549,249

Art Unit: 4133

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Teale whose telephone number is (571) 272-6897. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays from 7:30 am to 5:00 pm and on alternate Fridays from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Stucker, can be reached at (571)-272-0911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

MJT

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER